ADDITION TO THE ARTICLE OF W. SACKSTEDER
«Modes of Transformation» published in Logique & Analyse 90-91

Addition to note 5

Analogy or isomophism, together with the heterodox thesis that even formation of a formal logic likewise requires a structural analogy, namely that between those two aspects of its ruling transformation which are called in the present paper respectively «rule» and «instance».

(6) These brief suggestions are augmented in «Inference and Philosophic Typologies,» *The Monist*, Vol. 48, No. 4, Oct. 1964. I argue there that the modes of transformation presented here are the «root metaphor» underlying a scheme classifying philosophical systems.

(7) See «Presentational Arguments,» *Ratio*, Vol. XI, No. 2, Dec. 1969, in which I argue that this is the mode of discourse characteristic of all genuine philosophical argumentation.